

REMARKS

Action on the merits is respectfully requested.

Attached hereto are marked-up version of the changes made to the specification by the current amendment.


The claims in this case are directed to display systems having a suction cup mounting system. The examiner has previously rejected the claims in the parent application based on combinations which rely on Orlando (US 3,148,856) for a suction cup, stating the member 18 of Orlando has a flange or head 19 and a shank extending through the upper part of the suction cup. The foregoing amendments clarify that the claimed structure includes a blind bore having a closed end separating the force cup from the blind bore and an opposite open end and that the head of the threaded bolt is configured for being received within the blind bore of the suction cup and supported by the closed end of the blind bore.

As will be appreciated, the closed end of the "bore" of Orlando does not separate the force cup from the bore and support the head of a threaded bolt within the blind bore.

Reconsideration and issuance of a notice of allowance is requested.

Respectfully submitted,

LUEDEKA, NEELY & GRAHAM, P.C.

By:   
Robert O. Fox  
Registration No. 34,165

July 10, 2003  
P.O. Box 1871  
Knoxville, Tennessee 37901  
(865) 546-4305  
F:\56196\56196.C1\56196.C1.PreliminaryAmendment.wpd

EXPRESS MAILING LABEL # EV 327 364 475 US  
DATE FILED: July 10, 2003